

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/837,974	04/19/2001	Hiroshi Horie	TWA26USA	1393
270	7590 06/16/2003			
HOWSON AND HOWSON ONE SPRING HOUSE CORPORATION CENTER BOX 457 321 NORRISTOWN ROAD SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			EXAMINER	
			STEFANON, JUSTIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3682	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/837,974	HORIE ET AL.	7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	r
	Justin Stefanon	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	\
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 h	<u>farch 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	ologion roquiromoni.	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2001 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the section for a list of th	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			_

Application/Control Number: 09/837,974

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 3,661,025 Avramidis in view of Pat. No 5628702 to Kotera and further in view of Japanese Doc. No. 2000-65156 to Matsuda.

Avramidis discloses a silent power chain transmission apparatus with a concave buttom surface of the link plates being an arc-shaped surface scooped out more deeply than an arc tangent to opposed inside faces of the teeth. Kotera discloses a chain wherein the pitch length P2 defined by the inside tooth faces is longer than the pitch length P1 defined by the outer tooth faces. Using the convention of the present specification, Hi=Ho+K where K is a positive constant. Kotera does not specify that the constant K is equal to the amplitude Hs of the polygonal motion of the chain. However, the amplitude Hs is a function of the radius of the sprocket around which the chain runs. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the chain of Avramadis with the pitch lengths of Kotera using a sprocket creating an amplitude Hs equal to the constant K, in order to reduce noise caused by chain polygonal motion as taught by Kotera. The tooth edges of the chain and sprocket

Art Unit: 3682

of Avramidis are profiled to avoid interference with the roots of the sprocket and link plate.

Avramidis in view of Kotera teaches the claimed invention except for the inside tooth faces having profiles identical to a hob cutter capable of forming the teeth on the sprocket. Matsuda teaches that it is known to provide the inside tooth faces with the same shape as the part of the tooth shape of a rack cutter capable of generating the sprocket. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape the teeth of Avramidis as modified by Kotera to match the cutter profile as taught by Matsuda, since Matsuda states that such a modification would enable the chain to maintain its linear movement and contact smoothly and then engage with the sprocket with minimal vibration during engagement.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The teachings of Kotera and Matsuda both constitute improvements to the chain of Avramadis.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/837,974

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Justin Stefanon whose telephone number is 703-305-

1945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687

for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT/EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

June 11, 2003